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Trees and tree ideals

## Tree type

Let $\mathbb{T}$ be a collection of trees on $A^{<\omega}$ such that:

- $\mathbb{T}$ consists of perfect trees
- $\forall T \in \mathbb{T} \forall \sigma \in T,\left.T\right|_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{T}$
- $\forall T \in \mathbb{T} \exists\left\{S_{\alpha}: \alpha<\mathfrak{c}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ all below $T$ and that $\left[S_{\alpha}\right] \cap\left[S_{\beta}\right]=\varnothing$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$ (large antichains)



## Tree ideal

The Marczewski tree ideal $t_{0}$ consists of countable unions of $\mathbb{T}$-nwd sets, where $X \in \mathbb{T}$-nwd if

$$
\forall T \in \mathbb{T} \exists S \leq T, S \in \mathbb{T}, X \cap[S]=\varnothing
$$

Well investigated examples:

- $s_{0}$ - Marczewski ideal
- $m_{0}, l_{0}$ - Miller and Laver ideal
- $v_{0}$ - Silver, Mycielski ideal
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The Borel part of $t_{0}$
$\left.t_{0}\right|_{\mathcal{B} \text { or }}=$ borel sets from $t_{0}$

- $\left.s_{0}\right|_{\mathcal{B} o r}=$ countable sets
- $\left.m_{0}\right|_{\mathcal{B} o r}=\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}$ sets
- $\left.I_{0}\right|_{\text {Bor }}=$ not strongly dominating sets

Themes in tree ideals investigation


- Consistency of $\omega_{1}<\operatorname{add}\left(t_{0}\right)$.
- When $\mathfrak{c}<\operatorname{cof}\left(t_{0}\right)$ ?
- Consistency of $\operatorname{add}\left(t_{0}\right)<\operatorname{cov}\left(t_{0}\right)$.
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## Brendle, Khomskii, Wohofsky

Each of the following implies $\mathfrak{c}<\operatorname{cof}\left(t_{0}\right)$ :

- is $(\mathbb{T})=\mathfrak{c}$
- Constant of 1-1 property for $\mathbb{T}$
- Constant of 1-1 property holds in classical cases.
- is $(\mathbb{S})=i s(\mathbb{V})=\mathfrak{c}$ in ZFC.
- $\mathfrak{b} \leq i s(\mathbb{L})$ and $\mathfrak{d} \leq i s(\mathbb{M})$
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```
min}{\operatorname{cov}(f\mp@subsup{m}{0}{}\mp@subsup{|}{\mathcal{Bor}}{}),\mathfrak{b}}\leqis(\mathbb{FM})\mathrm{ but }\operatorname{cov}(\mathcal{M})=\operatorname{cov}(f\mp@subsup{m}{0}{\prime}\mp@subsup{|}{\mathcal{Bor}}{}
```
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Simply take $\Phi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow t_{0}, \Phi(M)=\{x: \phi(x) \in M\}$
Examples:

- $\mathbb{F M}$
- $\mathbb{L}^{2}$ and $\mathbb{M}^{3}$
- $\mathbb{B}^{2}$
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- $(*)_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow J(\mathbb{T})$ is not an ideal and $\mathbb{T}$ adds Cohen reals $(*)_{\mathbb{T}}$ holds for $\mathbb{B}^{2}, \mathbb{L}^{2}, \mathbb{M}^{3}$

$$
\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{T})=\{B \in \text { Borel }: \neg \exists T \in \mathbb{T}[T] \subseteq B\}
$$

Is it equal $\left.t_{0}\right|_{\text {Bor }}$ ? Is it even an ideal?
The star property of $\mathbb{T}$
$(*)_{\mathbb{T}}: \exists \phi: A^{\omega} \rightarrow 2^{\omega}$ continuous $\forall T \in \mathbb{T} \operatorname{int}(\phi[[T]]) \neq \varnothing$

- $(*)_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow J(\mathbb{T})$ is not an ideal and $\mathbb{T}$ adds Cohen reals $(*)_{\mathbb{T}}$ holds for $\mathbb{B}^{2}, \mathbb{L}^{2}, \mathbb{M}^{3}$
- c.r.n. $\rightarrow J(\mathbb{T})$ is $\sigma$-ideal and $J(\mathbb{T})=\left.t_{0}\right|_{\mathcal{B} \text { or }}$ $\mathbb{F M}$ has c.r.n.

Thank you

